IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3538 OF 2004

PETITIONER : Santosh Kumar Yadav, Age 30 years,
S/o. Late sahas Ram Yadav,
R/o. APO Deorbija, Tahsil Berla,
District-Durg (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1] State of Chhattisgarh
Through-Secretary,
Education Department Mantralaya
Raipur (C.G.)

2] District Education Officer Bemetara,
District-Durg (C.G.)

3] State of Madhya Pradesh
Through: Principle Secretary,
General Administration, State of M.P.
Secretariat Bhopal.(M.P.)

4] Union of India,
Department of Personnel Training,
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievance
and pension, (State Reorganization
Cell) Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market
New Delhi

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

20

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3538 OF 2004

Petitioner : Santosh Kumar Yadav

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & ors

Present : Shri Sameer Sahu, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sumit Verma, panel lawyer for the State.
Shri S.K. Beriwal, counsel for the respondent No.4.

ORAL ORDER

(27th April, 2006)

1. The petitioner's father Shri Sahas Ram Yadav died on 27.5.1998 in harness while working as Peon in Government Higher Secondary School Deorbija, Tahsil Berala, District Durg. The petitioner made an application for compassionate appointment on 17.8.1998, but till date, his application for compassionate appointment has not been decided.

2. The Government of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 2.2.2006, has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the eligible dependant-legal heir of the employees, who died in harness between 1.11.1997 to 31.10.2000 for compassionate appointment.

3. Accordingly, the Government of Chhattisgarh is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2.2.2006 and also in view of the order dated 20.12.2005 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

4. **For the reasons stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.**

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge